The Courier-Journal.

VOL. 29—WHOLE NO. 1,222. LOUISVILLE WEDNESDAY APRIL 6, 1870. NEW SERIES NO. 40.

WEEKLY EDITION.

CONGRESS.

Forty-first Congress—2d Session.

SENATE.....WASHINGTON, D. C., March 28.

CUBAN BELLIGERENCY.

Mr. HOWELL presented a resolution of the Iowa Legislature in favor of Cuban belligerency. Referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

TEXAS.

Mr. DRAKE inquired of the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee the reason for delay in reporting a bill for the admission of Texas.

Mr. TRUMBULL said the Senate was aware that, since the introduction of the Texas bill and the admission of General Amnesty, questions of the highest privilege were still undisposed of. He could not understand the unusual haste in regard to Texas. When the bill first came from the House, an effort was made by the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Sumner] to consider it without reference to the committee at all. The bill itself contained the element that it should not affect any of the conditions or guarantees upon which Texas was originally admitted, or admitted, as a State. One of those latter conditions provided that the State that might thereafter be carved out of the territory of Texas should be admitted into the Union with or without slavery, as the people of such State might, at the time of their formation, elect. The bill for the admission to admit three States in Texas, if the people there so elected; was contrary to the Constitution of the United States. The Judiciary Committee, therefore, desired to amend the bill so as to report it in the proper form.

Mr. DRAKE suggested that the very fact of a difficulty should lead to an early report, in order that Texas might speedily be admitted.

Mr. THURMAN remarked that he was in favor of an early restoration of Texas to her proper relation of respect to the Union, as fast as a report from the Judiciary Committee would only insure the hostility of the Senator from Missouri. He thought the better plan would be to send it to an ordinary report, that certain Senators would be sure to vote to admit the State.

Mr. DRAKE rose to reply, but Mr. Sherman called for the regular order, which was proceeded with.

THE TEXAS BILL.

Mr. SHERMAN offered a resolution discharging the Judiciary Committee from the further consideration of the Texas bill.

Objected to, and the resolution was laid over

RESOLUTIONS OFFERED.

By Mr. Morton—Calling upon the Secretary of the Interior for copies of all the reports from the Northern Pacific Railroad Commissioner, &c. in the Department of the Interior. Adopted.

By Mr. Sherman—Requesting the Secretary of State to furnish copies of all papers addressed to the State Department by J. Randolph Clay while Minister to Peru relating to the opening of the Amazon to the commerce of all nations. Adopted.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate, at one o'clock, went into executive session.

HOUSE.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED.

To prevent the collection of illegal tikes on passengers, levied by State authority.

Incorporating the Southern Trans-Continental Railroad Company, and granting lands and right of way through Arizona.

Authorizing the construction of a bridge across the Mississippi river at St. Louis.

Providing for the payment of pensions in gold. Also, a resolution of the Wisconsin Legislature asking further appropriations for harbors on Lake Superior.

RESOLUTIONS.

Mr. ARNELL offered a resolution declaring that the Constitution is the organic law of the common law of the land, and that therefore the use of the Bible in the public schools in the country is unjustifiably wild and proper, and tending to encourage and further strife and immorality.

Mr. BROOKS, of New York—What have we to do with it?

Mr. COX moved to table the resolution.

The House refused to second the previous question, and the resolution went over.

Mr. BUTLER, of Massachusetts, from the Committee on Ways and Means to report forthwith a bill levying a tax of 25 least ten per cent. of the gross receipts of all persons, to be deducted by the disbursing officers. He moved the previous question.

The House refused to second it—45 to 89—and the resolution went over.

Mr. SCHENCK introduced a joint resolution authorizing a supply of arms for instruction and practice to certain colleges and universities. Passed.

Mr. MUNGEN offered a resolution calling on the President for information relating to the San Domingo treaty, giving the names of persons and companies to whom lands, mines, franchises and privileges of all kinds have been given by the Dominican Government with the amount paid by the U. S. Government preliminary to and concerning the negotiations of such treaty. Adopted.

Mr. ELIOT, from the Committee on Foreign Affairs, offered a resolution granting leave to the committee to present in print for the consideration of the House the majority and minority reports on the Cuban question April 6, to be the specific order for that day and every succeeding day until disposed of.

The resolution was adopted, the Speaker stating that it would not interfere with the tariff or any other matters having precedence.

PERSONAL.

Mr. STOKES, rising to a personal explanation, corrected the testimony he had given before the Committee on Military Affairs in the matter of Mr. Butler, of Tennessee. He had stated that he had paid over to Butler the sum paid by Butler to the Chairman of the Central Committee for the State Journal was only $500 of the $1,000 he had paid, and the other $300 had been paid to Mr. Griswold, the editor of the paper.

PETITIONS PRESENTED.

By Mr. Morgan—Eighteen petitions of citizens of Ohio asking Congress to abolish internal taxes, except those on $500,000 tobacco, to make them receivable and payable in all dues, imposts and taxes, and to pay the $500 on July 1, 1870.

By Mr. Garfield—Of the citizens of Newton Falls, Ohio, against any change in the tariff laws, as suggested by the Free Trade League, for the reduction of the tax on tea, coffee, &c., and for the re-adjustment of the duty on manufactures.

THE TARIFF.

The House then went into Committee of the Whole on the tariff bill, and was addressed by Mr. Asper against high protective duties.

Mr. COX moved to strike out the enacting clause of the bill as the climax of rapacity and audacity.

Mr. GILLILAN followed as the advocate of the interests of Pennsylvania as affected by a protective tariff.

Mr. STEVENSON argued in favor of admitting coal duty free.

Mr. DICKEY inquired whether he thought the admission of Nova Scotia coal free of duty would lessen the price of coal in Chicago.

Mr. STEVENSON thought it would, or it would tend to break down the present coal monopoly. He also argued in favor of free coal duty on iron. He pleaded for an increased free list and for cheaper raw material. He upbraided Pennsylvania with that spirit of monopoly which would crush out every industry in the whole coal desert, which gorges into the earth until villages tumble, and which allows persons to be suffocated in the bowels of the earth rather than open additional shafts; which advises the oil producers to stop the flow in order to raise the price, and which sells a cup of cold water in Gettysburg. He warned Pennsylvanians to beware. The people would level monopoly and crush her hydra-head.

Mr. WOODARD spoke in favor of the reservation of the present tariff as opposed to a protective tariff.

Mr. BUCKLEY favored the admission free of duty, of machinery for spinning yarn.

Mr. WELKER spoke in defense of the present administration, its financial policy and its economy.

Mr. McKENZIE declared himself in favor of protection of American industry, but still adverse to a high tariff. He particularly objected to the duty on salt, sugar, coffee and tea.

Mr. MOORE, of Illinois, claimed that the administration of Congress ought not to be for the purpose of building up vast monopolies at the expense of other industries. He thought the Judiciary Committee should be enlarged, and the case of General Ames came up in order.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

Mr. SUMNER moved an executive session, and, after discussion on the necessity of discussing Ames' case to-day, the motion was agreed to, and the doors were closed.

At 4:30 the doors reopened, and the Senate adjourned.

HOUSE.

NEW TERRITORY.

Mr. RANDALL offered concurrent resolutions expressive of regret at the death of Gen. Thomas, who was endeared to the country by patriotic services for thirty years, never failering in faith and zeal in the maintenance of the Union and the integrity of the Government, and stern in the execution of every trust confided to him; and authorizing arrangements in connection with the obsequies as a test of the sympathy of Congress in the national bereavement. Adopted unanimously.

IMPEACHMENT.

The Senate bill removing the disabilities of several Virginians passed.

THE JUDICIARY BILL.

Mr. BUTLER, of Massachusetts, from the Reconstruction Committee, reported back the Senate amendments to the bill admitting Texas to representation in the Union, with a recommendation that the bill be concurred in.

The amendment is to strike out the proviso that nothing in the bill shall affect the conditions on which Texas was originally admitted to the Union.

During the discussion Mr. Brooks, of New York, remarked that there were three guarantees given by Texas in the original application for admission, and he asked Mr. Butler whether these guarantees, except of course as to slavery, would stand in the new compact.

Mr. BUTLER gave as his opinion, that striking out the proviso would not alter or affect in any way the original guarantees.

The Senate amendments were concurred in—131 against 150—a party vote.

SINKING FUND.

Mr. CLEVELAND presented a joint resolution requiring the Secretary of the Treasury to accept the most advantageous bids made for the purchase of gold under advertisement for his department, and directing that no purchase of bonds shall be made by the Secretary of the Treasury beyond the amount authorized to be purchased for the sinking fund each year; but that the surplus revenue shall be retained in the Treasury. Referred.

THE TARIFF.

The House then went into committee on the tariff bill, and was addressed by Mr. Maynard in the debate and accuracy of the bill.

THE FIFTEENTH AMENDMENT.

Pending Mr. Maynard's argument, the committee rose, and the Speaker laid before the House a message from the President of the United States announcing the proclamation of the ratification of the Fifteenth amendment, characterizing it as a measure of grander importance than any one yet of the kind from the foundation of the Government, and calling on Congress to do all in its power to encourage popular education throughout the country, and to prepare the people to hail with all who possess and exercise political rights shall have an opportunity to acquire knowledge to fit them for a share in the Government, and to this end he recommends the adoption of General Sherman as to the bill complained of by him and which he had designated as "the odious army bill."

Mr. COX said he had a motion to make to the Secretary of War, and said he wanted him forthwith a bill levying a tax of at least ten per cent. on all the gross receipts of the Army, to be deducted by the disbursing officers. He moved the previous question.

The House refused to second it—45 to 89—and the resolution went over.

Mr. SCHENCK introduced a joint resolution authorizing a supply of arms for instruction and practice to certain colleges and universities. Passed.

Mr. MUNGEN offered a resolution calling on the President for information relating to the San Domingo treaty, giving the names of persons and companies to whom lands, mines, franchises and privileges of all kinds have been given by the Dominican Government with the amount paid by the U. S. Government preliminary to and concerning the negotiations of such treaty. Adopted.

Mr. ELIOT, from the Committee on Foreign Affairs, offered a resolution granting leave to the committee to present in print for the consideration of the House the majority and minority reports on the Cuban question April 6, to be the specific order for that day and every succeeding day until disposed of.

The resolution was adopted, the Speaker stating that it would not interfere with the tariff or any other matters having precedence.

PERSONAL.

Mr. STOKES, rising to a personal explanation, corrected the testimony he had given before the Committee on Military Affairs in the matter of Mr. Butler, of Tennessee. He had stated that only $500 of the $1,000 paid the sum paid by Butler to the Chairman of the Central Committee for the State Journal was only $500 of the $1,000 he had paid, and the other $300 had been paid to Mr. Griswold, the editor of the paper.

PETITIONS PRESENTED.

By Mr. Morgan—Eighteen petitions of citizens of Ohio asking Congress to abolish internal taxes, except those on $500,000 tobacco, to make them receivable and payable in all dues, imposts and taxes, and to pay the $500 on July 1, 1870.

By Mr. Garfield—Of the citizens of Newton Falls, Ohio, against any change in the tariff laws, as suggested by the Free Trade League, for the reduction of the tax on tea, coffee, &c., and for the re-adjustment of the duty on manufactures.

THE TARIFF.

The House then went into Committee of the Whole on the tariff bill, and was addressed by Mr. Asper against high protective duties.

Mr. COX moved to strike out the enacting clause of the bill as the climax of rapacity and audacity.

Mr. GILLILAN followed as the advocate of the interests of Pennsylvania as affected by a protective tariff.

Mr. STEVENSON argued in favor of admitting coal duty free.

Mr. DICKEY inquired whether he thought the admission of Nova Scotia coal free of duty would lessen the price of coal in Chicago.

Mr. STEVENSON thought it would, or it would tend to break down the present coal monopoly. He also argued in favor of free coal duty on iron. He pleaded for an increased free list and for cheaper raw material. He upbraided Pennsylvania with that spirit of monopoly which would crush out every industry in the whole coal desert;

Courier-Journal.

LOUISVILLE, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8, 1868.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

The COURIER-JOURNAL is mailed to subscribers at the following rates:

THE WEEKLY COURIER-JOURNAL.

A Large Forty-column Newspaper.

Single copy, one year.....$2 00
Single copy, six months.....1 00
Five to ten copies, one year, each.....1 75
Ten to twenty copies, one year, each.....1 50
Twenty copies and over, one year, each.....1 25

THE DAILY COURIER-JOURNAL.

A Large Forty-column Newspaper.

To city subscribers, per week, 25 cents, payable to the carrier. To News Agents, three cents per copy.

One year.....$12 00
Six months.....6 00
Three months.....3 00
One month.....1 00

ADVERTISING.

A limited number of advertisements in the WEEKLY COURIER-JOURNAL will be received at 25 cents per line for each insertion. No abatement for length of time, and no advertisement for less than one dollar.

A Change in the Cabinet.

Two, at least, of the New York papers, the Herald and the Sun, are moving toward a reconstruction of the Cabinet. Both belong to what is understood as the sensational school of political literature. But this does not imply that they do not represent great masses of people. They fire before they are ready frequently, and they often go off half-cocked. We laugh at their follies and are amused by their irregularities. They are to the world of journalism what that flexible and secure, but patriotic, body of soldiers, the "Hell-Roaring Horse," are supposed to be to the military establishment. Yet, in spite of their lack of technical discipline and serious purpose, possess advantages for rapid motion and observation that do not belong to the line with its heavy artillery and baggage. They are therefore excellent scouts. They drive ahead without much fear, having no dead weight to carry, and, though they may be now and then pretty roughly handled at the front, they are not to be perpetually and systematically discredited, and when they appear simultaneously with the same report, it is entitled to consideration.

"It has been rumored for some time past in Washington," says the Sun of Tuesday morning, "that the Hon. HAMILTON FISH is about to retire from the State Department. It is said that the only reason is that he desires to do so; and it is certain that Gen. GRANT would never dismiss a member of his Cabinet who did not resist any favorite notion of his, and who treated him with politeness. Very likely the report of Mr. FISH's intention is true. The place he holds is not the one he is understood to have coveted. His ambition was to be appointed Minister to England, but the President made him Secretary of State. It was one of the queerest acts of this queer Administration." There is no lack of guessing here, but what follows is very close to the point. The Sun continues:

"Mr. FISH is an honest man.—He is also a gentleman. His natural disposition is patriotic. He would not intentionally dishonor his country. But he is not competent to direct the policy of a great Government in a difficult crisis. It would be as proper to choose Gen. BEN. BUTLER, for Archbishop of Canterbury as to select HAMILTON FISH for Secretary of State. However, Gen. GRANT did it, and the result is what we see. The Administration is weak, cowardly, anti-American, truckling to Spain, and bullying Hayti, giving moral support to the Brazilian crusade for the extension of slavery in South America, and with no positive foreign policy anywhere except the jobbing, swindling treaty for the annexation of St. Domingo. Such lack of brains, such sterility of ideas, such total absence of manly spirit, such indifference to American principles, was never seen before in the Government of the United States. And for all this Mr. FISH is justly held responsible."

This is plain speaking. But as the Sun is unfriendly to GRANT, the following from Tuesday's Herald, which is a sort of GRANT organ, is a little significant. We quote:

"The President will have to change his Cabinet in order to carry out his policy. He may not like to do it, but he will be forced to it by the good of extreme measures unless compelled to the task. In this respect he is like Mr. LINCOLN. But could Mr. LINCOLN have relieved the President from embarrassment and to serve his defence? The Secretary must see that he is the best fitted. While he remains he receives the honor conferred upon him already ought to be sufficient to prompt him to action. If he voluntarily retires or not the time is not far off when General GRANT will have to reorganize his Cabinet. The present one is not a unit in favor. With the restoration of the South some one should be taken from that part of the country. Such a man, for example, as Governor ORR, of South Carolina. With his large experience, thoroughly loyal, and strong Union views, he would be a valuable Cabinet Minister at the present time. His appointment, too, would go far to heal the sectional differences. Either Secretary of State, in place of Mr. FISH, the President could not select a more valuable adviser. He, like the President, is liberal, conservative in his views, and a statesman. There is no man in the country who could strengthen the South at the present time than he is. Other changes may be necessary, and it would be well, probably, to reorganize the Navy Department, but a change in the State department is imperative. The President must have a Secretary who will be in accord with him and that will carry out his policy.

"The suggestion of Governor ORR to be the successor of Mr. FISH is of course a bit of buncombe. If it must be a South Carolinian we suppose the President would prefer Mr. WHITTEMORE, and he would suit the Department of War rather than the Department of State. But South Carolina is not in the line of preferment just yet. It is understood in private circles, however, that ROBESON is to go out of the Cabinet with the close of the present session, previously agreed upon, for Mr. MAYNARD, of Tennessee. Here the President is inconsistent, for one would naturally infer his preference for STOKES. But in Cabinet mending, as in Cabinet making, there is no rule to go by. It was poor old ZACHARY TAYLOR who said it was "the God dam'dest business a man ever turned his hand to," which is just possible, by this time, that General GRANT has no better opinion of it.

"There are but three respectable members of the present Cabinet, Mr. FISH, Mr. CRESWELL, and Mr. COX. Mr. BOUTWELL comes next, but he falls short of the mark, and ROBESON, BELKNAP, and HOAR are quite below it. CRESWELL has exceeded our expectations. FISH, though not equal to a great emergency, is an average man as matters stand. COX is probably the ablest among them, and seems to be administering the Interior Department efficiently and honestly. MAYNARD would improve on ROBESON certainly, but the trouble with MAYNARD is lack of moral nerve and excess of nervous feeling. MORTON, of Indiana, would hardly get on in the State Department, although we concede him a rough, radical force, which is attractive among the people. A better selection would be TRUMBULL, of Illinois, likely that HOAR will re- of JAMES SPEED, W- LAND BALLARD, of- MATTHEWS, of for the places improve on that GRANT

The suggestion of Secretary FISHER pretends to think that the Ku-klux are lying in wait for him. And so, while they lie in wait, he lies in Washington.

Parties and Party Names.

It is very droll.

Here is a Texas paper professing a devotion to "Democratic principles," which is, we fear, rather too violent to be precisely sincere, and, yet it is apparently, in its neighborhood, a recognized Democratic authority, making an outcry against some local aspirant for Democratic honors, on the ground that, as the editor elegantly observes, "he is not sound on the goose." The cause of the unfortunate gentleman not being "sound on the goose" we find, explained further on, to consist in the fact, or the assertion, that "he was, in 1860, a roaring Douglasite." We learn, incidentally, that he was "all right" up to 1860, when "he went off filibustering with the enemies of his party." We learn also that he was a Confederate soldier, and, as much is said about his "apostacy" and nothing about his valor, or lack of valor, we may believe him to have been a brave one. But our newspaper writer, who declares himself "an humble advocate of earnest Democratic opinions," would rule him out because "he is not sound on the goose."

This goose, one would fancy, hangs exceedingly high, but here is a Georgia paper which swings a mate to it. "We repeat," says this noble Democratic beacon light, "that Judge C—— is a gentleman and a good man." Our objection to him lies not there." What a mess of Messaline. The judge is a "gentleman" and a "good man," and yet the Georgia editor does not object to him! "But," here we have it, "he is a new convert and ought to take a back seat for a while. The Democratic party must be ruled by life-long Democrats who have tested their faith by their works and received their patent right of nobility from the consecrated fathers who made the party what it was in the better days of the Republic." This editor, it will be seen, is a poet as well as a patriot, albeit we fear he is no philosopher, and he does surely somewhat confuse his images. Patents of nobility—if that is the term, for we confess a plebeian poverty of information upon aristocratical titles and topics of all sorts—have not been commonly supposed to belong to the Democratic party or to have been dispensed very freely even by the "consecrated fathers." However, the meaning of our oracle is plain enough and we need not stop to criticise his English.

Two correspondents of the Richmond Whig and Atlanta Constitution, respectively, wait upon us. They are less figurative. One, sermonizing in the Whig, advises the Democratic party to "change its name." He wishes, he says, to go back to "first principles" and his "first principles" are, to use his own words, "the old-line Whigs." The other, addressing himself to the Constitution (not the Constitution of the United States, but the Atlanta Constitution), declares that "the hope of the country lies" (why lies pray?) "with the Douglas Democracy."

We repeat, it is very droll. It is really very droll, and, as it is also very harmless, it amuses us. It is like a not unpleasing echo of past times. It comes to us as a sound from far-distant woodlands where old streams stagger sleepily along under deepening, unbroken and unruffled crusts of leaf and moss. What a queer medley to be sure. Shoe-buckles and knee-breeches! "Douglas Democracy!" "Old-line Whigs!" And why not a step still further back? There were the "Black Cockade Federalists" and the "National Republicans." It is at best but a matter of nomenclature, and the Prasini and Venedi, the Guelphs and Ghibelines, the Roundheads and Cavaliers, would be just as applicable, for it must be allowed that present party names have very little relation to the differences which in days gone by distinguished the members of the two political organizations that are struggling, the one to retain and the other to possess the control of the Government.

Nor is it in the power of the sharpest special pleader to make out a perfectly consistent line of argument or conduct for any considerable body of citizens, and we suspect it is not at all desirable. In 1860, for example, the original Democratic party was rent asunder, and each division, as is always the case, vied in bitterness with the other. The Democrats who supported Mr. BRECKINRIDGE expressed the most decided preference for the Republicans who supported Mr. LINCOLN over their late companions who supported Mr. DOUGLAS; and, a year later, the DOUGLAS Democrats very freely joined hands with Mr. LINCOLN and the Republicans to preserve the Union. The "Old-line Whigs," who were disbanded in 1852, were represented in 1860 by a strong body of partisans who supported Mr. BELL, and called themselves by various names. In one place they were "Union men," in another "the Opposition," and so on. The war broke up all the existing combinations. The Texas editor who is still so much set against the "Douglasites" would, if he were consistent, be a Radical for the great body of the present Democratic organization in the North were "Douglasites." The Atlanta Democrat, who believes the hope of the country to "lie in the hands of the DOUGLAS Democracy," is similarly impracticable. He would introduce into a region which was once distracted by a disastrous party split a thoroughly useless and certainly disturbing term, which was long ago happily abandoned, and is, let us hope, forgotten in every offensive sense. In like manner the Georgia editor, who has no objection to Judge C——, because he is "a good man and a gentleman," but because "he is a new convert," would offer a rather poor inducement to the Democratic recruiting service, which is generally supposed to be in no better plight than it should be, and is believed to need all the encouragement it can get. As for the Virginia gentleman—he of the gold-rimmed spectacles and the cocked-hat—who wants a revival of the "Old line Whigs," why, hay Hayti, but who holds the title-deeds, and who could legitimately sell the property? And, then, to what profit? We have devilment enough on our hands just now without Hayti.

Biographical.

The very interesting fact, always so studiously concealed from the public everywhere, has just leaked out that Gen. GRANT's Southern Judge of the Supreme Court, the Hon. JOSEPH P. BRADLEY, is a man of national distinction—that even the ear of Europe has not been wholly unsoothed by the sound of his great name or more than one occasion within the last five or six years. He is a citizen of Newark, N. J., and the Advertiser, of that town, gives us a biographical sketch of him, which opens with these youthful facts:

> Thus was this great man compelled, almost in infancy, by those
>
> Twin jailers of the daring mind,
> Low birth and iron fortune,
>
> to adopt whisky as a beverage and go bare-headed. But in spite of these drawbacks he went to teaching school at the age of sixteen, and studying at the same time. It seems that he was afflicted with what the medical editor of the Advertiser terms a "burning thirst for knowledge and an education," and while its paroxysms lasted "the read," we are told, "history, poetry theology, and such other reading as the town library afforded—in fact, devouring everything he could lay his hands on." It was at this period, we presume, that he laid his hands on and devoured a pile of patent-office reports, deriving therefrom that political and judicial wisdom which so won the admiration of Gen. GRANT. He was a swift as well as an indiscriminate reader. "It is related," says the Advertiser, "that he finished IRVING's 'Knickerbocker' in one night," and by reference to the almanac of that date we find that it wasn't a good night for "Knickerbockers" either. Here are some more facts of a little later date. Wherever the pronoun he appears in the extract it means BRADLEY, of course:

> In March, 1837, he made an arrangement with the minister of the parish of Boran, by which he went to live with him and prepare for college. He soon after formed the design of entering the ministry, but afterward abandoned it. He entered RUTGERS College, New Brunswick, in September, 1839, where he graduated in the distinguished class of 1836, which included, also, CORTLANDT PARKER, Senator FRELINGHUYSEN, Governor NEWELL and the late EDWARD COOK, of this city. During the summer and fall of the same year he was the principal of the Clarkson School, at Hull-town, L. I., in Hempstead, but he began the study of law in the office of the late A. OAKLEY, Jr., of this city. This was in the spring of 1839. BRADLEY's residence in Newark, and it was there that he was admitted to the bar of New Jersey, in November, 1842.

There never was a time, you may be bound, when they talked of sending young BRADLEY to a house of refuge for born idiots. He seems to have known everything from the start.

Mr. BRADLEY has held several positions of much more importance than that to which Gen. GRANT has appointed him, for the position of Associate Justice of the Supreme Court amounts to very little since it has been made so easy for very little men to get into it. At one time "Mr. BRADLEY accepted the appointment of Trenton correspondent of this paper"—that is to say, of the Newark Advertiser. Subsequently, he was elected "a Director of the Newark Savings Institution," and "for years he held the arduous and responsible position of mathematician to the Mutual Benefit Life Insurance Company" of that city. Everybody in his district was exceedingly anxious for him to run for Congress, and keep on running for it; but they could never get him to do it but once.

Says the Advertiser: "Notwithstanding that he was urged for many years to accept nominations, he always eschewed political life until 1862, when he ran for Congress in the Fifth District on the Republican ticket, and was defeated by Hon. NEHEMIAH PERRY, who was the Democratic candidate." Disgusted with the people, all of whom begged him to run for office and few of whom would vote for him when he did run, Mr. BRADLEY abandoned the pursuit of political distinction, thus losing forever the privilege of voting for reconstruction and of seling cadetships, and fixed his eye upon the Supreme bench. With one eye thus fixed, he slowly, but surely, turned the other in the direction of a beautiful villa at Long Branch, and not until that villa had been bought and presented to the President of the United States did Mr. BRADLEY withdraw his gaze therefrom. He knew then that the charter for his royal road to the Supreme bench had been secured, and that the contracts were all let out to reliable men.

Mr. BRADLEY, it seems, didn't have any politics of his own up to the year 1863, but feeling then that in times like those, when the inexorable conscript law was abroad in the land, the safest place for the true patriot was the legislative halls of the nation, he borrowed a political sentiment or two in regard to crushing out the rebellion, and announced himself a candidate for Congress. The Advertiser tells us that, "Let us sink party differences, bury them under our feet, when treason and rebellion are stalking about the land, and come up Democrats, Republicans, Americans, or what ever other party there may be, shoulder to shoulder as we stand here to-day, in support of the Constitution and the Government, until its authority is vindicated forever!" was ever the burden of his speeches." He was not elected to Congress, and, although he managed to escape the draft, he did not desert his bleeding country. As men determined to do or die, he and hundreds of others like him, whose antipathy to the smell of gunpowder rendered the front intolerable to them, stood there at home shoulder to shoulder in support of the Constitution and the Government until its authority was vindicated forever. Approach, "by lonely contemplation led," and behold this picture of the young LEONIDAS and his new Thermopylæ—the heroic BRADLEY and his cohorts standing there in Newark, shoulder to shoulder, in support of the Constitution and the Government against the whole South in arms! A single glance at this extraordinary spectacle, and we are prepared to hear the Advertiser say:

> So that at the close of the war we need not be surprised at his taking his stand by the side of those who hung days in chains, and who fought all claims to any rights as States, or to be counted as such, in any question of constitutional law, or on the question of constitutional amendments, and that the action of the Executive, so far as the vast power of the country, and of Congress in the plenitude of power, were supreme and unlimited, as in any other military despotism, Judge BRADLEY at once expressed more or less in detail in a letter published in February, 1866, when the questions of the condition of the States, the rights of citizens, and the admission of Louisiana were under discussion, and he was a prominent candidate at that time to this. In the expression of his views on these and other subjects, which have loomed into importance since that day, Judge BRADLEY, as has always been very decided, and has stood firmly by the leading dogmas of the Republican party.

But BRADLEY is not one of those rather unreasonable persons who still think that all the Southern people ought to be hanged, or burned, or buried alive. He is more liberal in his views than that. "We happen to know from personal intercourse with him," says the Advertiser, "that no man has a more generous feeling of sympathy for the South, and as it has been his chance to be selected for the Southern Circuit, in which he has expressed his determination to reside, we anticipate favorable results from the official and social intercourse with his Southern people, amongst whom he has some warm personal friends." All this is very pleasant, and we hope it is true. But if it should turn out that BRADLEY's "warm personal friends" at the South are to be found only among the carpet-baggers and scalawags, then, our worst fears will have been confirmed.

In conclusion, let us say that Mr. BRADLEY received the degree of L.L. D. from Lafayette College, Pa., in 1859, and that, "in October, 1844, he married the youngest daughter of the late distinguished Chief Justice HORNBLOWER, of New Jersey, and is the brother-in-law of the Rev. Dr. WM. H. HORNBLOWER, of Paterson. Being much worn in health by many years of toil, he went to Europe in July, 1865, and returned, after an absence of five months, much benefited. He again visited Europe in 1869, and remained a few months." BRADLEY's health is now good. His face is "full of intellectual expression," while, in addition to which, "a calm cheerfulness rests upon the whole countenance."

The Late General Thomas.

We do not rate Gen. THOMAS as highly as he seems to be regarded by the press of the North. But we are not conscious of any partisan instigation to disparage him. There was a time when we entertained an active, but by no means personal, hostility toward the course which he saw fit to pursue in his administration of this military department. That hostility passed off long ago. Indeed, now that he is dead, we may confess very frankly that we have more than once turned over in our mind the possibility of having to make choice between him and some worse person as a future candidate for the Presidency, and, while we could never get ourselves up to this as a remote and abstract proposition, impressed by any practical necessity, we are not prepared to deny that, as against Mr. SUMNER or Gen. BUTLER, we might not have been so far wrought upon as to forget his colder nature, and to be warmed by certain traits of soldiership which he did undoubtedly possess.

The man was brave, hardy and unobtrusive. In person he was solid, square-built, weather-bronzed, gray-bearded, but rather handsome, withal dignified and undemonstrative in his manners, having the mien and carriage of a gentleman. He illustrated in his character, in his appearance and in his conduct what the hunters understand as "a cold-nosed dog." His temperament was impulsive, his self-control perfect. But he was obstinate rather than firm; and his campaigns, which lacked genius, did not lack success, because he represented, in a very marked manner, the difference between the restless man, which he was not, and the man of action, which he was. In the saddle he bore a striking likeness to the late General LEONIDAS POLK, and, like POLK, he had the faculty of attaching his staff to his person, and inspiring the rank and file with an ideal self that was not destitute of a heroic grandeur under fire and on the night march; for THOMAS could endure much. In other respects he was the reverse of the great soldier-bishop. POLK was warm-hearted, sensitive and incentious craving for agitation and change. A mission of this sort is a good mission no matter how it is marshaled or by what ingredients it should be made up, or whichever name it may carry, and we seriously counsel the parties from whom we have taken the liberty of quoting the preceding illustrations, to read and to study a fable which they will find in the spelling-book of the venerable NOAH WEBSTER, and which relates to a certain dog who crossed a stream bearing a bit of meat, and what befell him. If this fable does not drop its moral in their minds it will at least refresh their orthography, and perhaps amuse them.

Santo Domingo and Hayti.

It is now proposed not only to annex Santo Domingo, but to follow up the project with the annexation of Hayti, with a view of securing Port-au-Prince. We have already shown what Santo Domingo is and has been; and a similar sketch of Hayti will, doubtless, be just as interesting to our readers. Within the last seventy years the Haytians have had abundant opportunities of expressing their political sympathies.

They declared themselves independent in 1801, and chose for their chief the celebrated TOUSSAINT L'OUVERTURE, who, although his memory has been somewhat too much glorified, really possessed many noble qualities. In 1803 San Domingo was again brought under subjection by the French, and the captured TOUSSAINT was most cruelly done to death by the First NAPOLEON, in the horrible captivity of the fortress of JOUX, in France. During the year 1803 the negroes again expelled the French, and made the ex-slave DESSALINES Emperor, with the title of JAMES I. Two years afterwards the "Emperor" was assassinated, and his lieutenant, CHRISTOPHE, was placed at the head of affairs. In 1811, CHRISTOPHE became "King," with the title of HENRY I., but one-half of the island fell under the presidency of General PETION, who was succeeded by General BOYER. In 1820 the troops revolted, and "King" HENRY I. committed suicide. BOYER then became ruler of all Hayti, which was styled a republic, and acknowledged as such by England and France, but in 1843 he was overthrown, and the Haytian republic became once more an empire, under the sway of the Emperor *pour rire* SOULOUQUE, with his "Prince of Bono," his Marquis DE LA LIMONADE, and his "Marquis DE LA GALETTE." FAUSTIN I. was deposed in 1855, and, fleeing to Jamaica, died there, in the enjoyment of abundant pumpkin and unlimited rum. The black empire was turned into a republic again, with General GEFFRARD as President; and at his downfall the reins of power were seized by SYLVAIN SALNAVE, whose brains were blown out last winter.

From this sketch it will be seen that the rule of CHRISTOPHE and PETION excepted, the island has been successively the prey of desperate, brutal and unscrupulous military adventurers. TOUSSAINT L'OUVERTURE can scarcely be said to have ever governed Hayti, he merely fought, and fought most heroically, for her emancipation from the yoke of France. But DESSALINES and CHRISTOPHE were able to make some efforts to discipline and govern the hordes of intractable blacks who had been so suddenly liberated. A code called the Code Rural was devised—a body of laws of exceeding stringency, framed to prevent the enfranchised negroes from relapsing into that state of fatuous idleness to which their rulers, who were of the same blood as themselves, were fully aware that they were prone. But this Code Rural has been in abeyance for many years; and the freedmen of Hayti have for a long time been enabled, by almost incessant political convulsions, to revel in that *otium cum dignitate* which consists in cultivating just as much yam and plantain as will support nature and then going to sleep. It is idle to talk of the climate as an excuse for this indolence. White folks can work hard in the very hottest climates, and negroes will work too—when they are obliged. Meanwhile, however, the richest parts of Hayti are reverting to a state of nature, and abandoned sugar and coffee plantations are frequent even in the neighborhood of Port-au-Prince, Jacmel and AUX CAYES.

Port-au-Prince holds perhaps as choice an assemblage of scoundrels as can be found anywhere in the Antilles, or even in the entire world. Assassination, cruelty bloodshed, "pillage by arms," and the overthrow of a constitution are of course highly flagitious deeds—but only when the person who perpetrates them fails to keep the upper hand.

The last revolution is a sample of all. SALNAVE was perhaps not much more ferocious or bloodthirsty than his predecessors, who, like him, had attempted the apparently hopeless task of ruling the black and yellow fiends that inhabit San Domingo. He was undeniably brave, and the closing scenes of his career were bright enough to place the poor mulatto on a level with that undaunted son of the house of HAPSBURG who was slain at Queretaro. He fought at desperate odds, for the revolutionary party had long been predominant—a thing to be expected in a country where love of anarchy is normal and submission to any kind of lawful authority is regarded as slavery in disguise.

Annexation to the United States would undeniably, save the island; for, with Yankee organization, the negroes would be very free indeed; but they would have either to work or to "clear out," and "clearing out" is a convertible term for gradual extermination. It is a long way, however, from the bay of Samana to Port-au-Prince, and the leasehold of a harbor in the Dominican republic is but a small step towards the acquisition of the republic of Hayti. The Constitution of the United States precludes us from conquering territory. We might, certainly, buy Hayti, but who holds the title-deeds, and who could legitimately sell the property? And, then, to what profit? We have devilment enough on our hands just now without Hayti.

The Late General Thomas.

We do not rate Gen. THOMAS as highly as he seems to be regarded by the press of the North. But we are not conscious of any partisan instigation to disparage him. There was a time when we entertained an active, but by no means personal, hostility toward the course which he saw fit to pursue in his administration of this military department. That hostility passed off long ago. Indeed, now that he is dead, we may confess very frankly that we have more than once turned over in our mind the possibility of having to make choice between him and some worse person as a future candidate for the Presidency, and, while we could never get ourselves up to this as a remote and abstract proposition, impressed by any practical necessity, we are not prepared to deny that, as against Mr. SUMNER or Gen. BUTLER, we might not have been so far wrought upon as to forget his colder nature, and to be warmed by certain traits of soldiership which he did undoubtedly possess.

The man was brave, hardy and unobtrusive. In person he was solid, square-built, weather-bronzed, gray-bearded, but rather handsome, withal dignified and undemonstrative in his manners, having the mien and carriage of a gentleman. He illustrated in his character, in his appearance and in his conduct what the hunters understand as "a cold-nosed dog." His temperament was impulsive, his self-control perfect. But he was obstinate rather than firm; and his campaigns, which lacked genius, did not lack success, because he represented, in a very marked manner, the difference between the restless man, which he was not, and the man of action, which he was. In the saddle he bore a striking likeness to the late General LEONIDAS POLK, and, like POLK, he had the faculty of attaching his staff to his person, and inspiring the rank and file with an ideal self that was not destitute of a heroic grandeur under fire and on the night march; for THOMAS could endure much. In other respects he was the reverse of the great soldier-bishop. POLK was warm-hearted, sensitive and incentious craving for agitation and change. A mission of this sort is a good mission no matter how it is marshaled or by what ingredients it should be made up, or whichever name it may carry, and we seriously counsel the parties from whom we have taken the liberty of quoting the preceding illustrations, to read and to study a fable which they will find in the spelling-book of the venerable NOAH WEBSTER, and which relates to a certain dog who crossed a stream bearing a bit of meat, and what befell him. If this fable does not drop its moral in their minds it will at least refresh their orthography, and perhaps amuse them.

Santo Domingo and Hayti.

It is now proposed not only to annex Santo Domingo, but to follow up the project with the annexation of Hayti, with a view of securing Port-au-Prince. We have already shown what Santo Domingo is and has been; and a similar sketch of Hayti will, doubtless, be just as interesting to our readers. Within the last seventy years the Haytians have had abundant opportunities of expressing their political sympathies.

They declared themselves independent in 1801, and chose for their chief the celebrated TOUSSAINT L'OUVERTURE, who, although his memory has been somewhat too much glorified, really possessed many noble qualities. In 1803 San Domingo was again brought under subjection by the French, and the captured TOUSSAINT was most cruelly done to death by the First NAPOLEON, in the horrible captivity of the fortress of JOUX, in France. During the year 1803 the negroes again expelled the French, and made the ex-slave DESSALINES Emperor, with the title of JAMES I. Two years afterwards the "Emperor" was assassinated, and his lieutenant, CHRISTOPHE, was placed at the head of affairs. In 1811, CHRISTOPHE became "King," with the title of HENRY I., but one-half of the island fell under the presidency of General PETION, who was succeeded by General BOYER. In 1820 the troops revolted, and "King" HENRY I. committed suicide. BOYER then became ruler of all Hayti, which was styled a republic, and acknowledged as such by England and France, but in 1843 he was overthrown, and the Haytian republic became once more an empire, under the sway of the Emperor *pour rire* SOULOUQUE, with his "Prince of Bono," his Marquis DE LA LIMONADE, and his "Marquis DE LA GALETTE." FAUSTIN I. was deposed in 1855, and, fleeing to Jamaica, died there, in the enjoyment of abundant pumpkin and unlimited rum. The black empire was turned into a republic again, with General GEFFRARD as President; and at his downfall the reins of power were seized by SYLVAIN SALNAVE, whose brains were blown out last winter.

From this sketch it will be seen that, the rule of CHRISTOPHE and PETION excepted, the island has been successively the prey of desperate, brutal and unscrupulous military adventurers. TOUSSAINT L'OUVERTURE can scarcely be said to have ever governed Hayti, he merely fought, and fought most heroically, for her emancipation from the yoke of France. But DESSALINES and CHRISTOPHE were able to make some efforts to discipline and govern the hordes of intractable blacks who had been so suddenly liberated. A code called the Code Rural was devised—a body of laws of exceeding stringency, framed to prevent the enfranchised negroes from relapsing into that state of fatuous idleness to which their rulers, who were of the same blood as themselves, were fully aware that they were prone. But this Code Rural has been in abeyance for many years; and the freedmen of Hayti have for a long time been enabled, by almost incessant political convulsions, to revel in that *otium cum dignitate* which consists in cultivating just as much yam and plantain as will support nature and then going to sleep. It is idle to talk of the climate as an excuse for this indolence. White folks can work hard in the very hottest climates, and negroes will work too—when they are obliged. Meanwhile, however, the richest parts of Hayti are reverting to a state of nature, and abandoned sugar and coffee plantations are frequent even in the neighborhood of Port-au-Prince, Jacmel and AUX CAYES.

Port-au-Prince holds perhaps as choice an assemblage of scoundrels as can be found anywhere in the Antilles, or even in the entire world. Assassination, cruelty bloodshed, "pillage by arms," and the overthrow of a constitution are of course highly flagitious deeds—but only when the person who perpetrates them fails to keep the upper hand.

The last revolution is a sample of all. SALNAVE was perhaps not much more ferocious or bloodthirsty than his predecessors, who, like him, had attempted the apparently hopeless task of ruling the black and yellow fiends that inhabit San Domingo. He was undeniably brave, and the closing scenes of his career were bright enough to place the poor mulatto on a level with that undaunted son of the house of HAPSBURG who was slain at Queretaro. He fought at desperate odds, for the revolutionary party had long been predominant—a thing to be expected in a country where love of anarchy is normal and submission to any kind of lawful authority is regarded as slavery in disguise.

Annexation to the United States would undeniably, save the island; for, with Yankee organization, the negroes would be very free indeed; but they would have either to work or to "clear out," and "clearing out" is a convertible term for gradual extermination. It is a long way, however, from the bay of Samana to Port-au-Prince, and the leasehold of a harbor in the Dominican republic is but a small step towards the acquisition of the republic of Hayti. The Constitution of the United States precludes us from conquering territory. We might, certainly, buy Hayti, but who holds the title-deeds, and who could legitimately sell the property? And, then, to what profit? We have devilment enough on our hands just now without Hayti.

Biographical.

The very interesting fact, always so studiously concealed from the public everywhere, has just leaked out that Gen. GRANT's Southern Judge of the Supreme Court, the Hon. JOSEPH P. BRADLEY, is a man of national distinction—that even the ear of Europe has not been wholly unsoothed by the sound of his great name or more than one occasion within the last five or six years. He is a citizen of Newark, N. J., and the Advertiser, of that town, gives us a biographical sketch of him, which opens with these youthful facts:

> Thus was this great man compelled, almost in infancy, by those
>
> Twin jailers of the daring mind,
> Low birth and iron fortune,
>
> to adopt whisky as a beverage and go bare-headed. But in spite of these drawbacks he went to teaching school at the age of sixteen, and studying at the same time. It seems that he was afflicted with what the medical editor of the Advertiser terms a "burning thirst for knowledge and an education," and while its paroxysms lasted "the read," we are told, "history, poetry theology, and such other reading as the town library afforded—in fact, devouring everything he could lay his hands on." It was at this period, we presume, that he laid his hands on and devoured a pile of patent-office reports, deriving therefrom that political and judicial wisdom which so won the admiration of Gen. GRANT. He was a swift as well as an indiscriminate reader. "It is related," says the Advertiser, "that he finished IRVING's 'Knickerbocker' in one night," and by reference to the almanac of that date we find that it wasn't a good night for "Knickerbockers" either. Here are some more facts of a little later date. Wherever the pronoun he appears in the extract it means BRADLEY, of course:

> In March, 1837, he made an arrangement with the minister of the parish of Boran, by which he went to live with him and prepare for college. He soon after formed the design of entering the ministry, but afterward abandoned it. He entered RUTGERS College, New Brunswick, in September, 1839, where he graduated in the distinguished class of 1836, which included, also, CORTLANDT PARKER, Senator FRELINGHUYSEN, Governor NEWELL and the late EDWARD COOK, of this city. During the summer and fall of the same year he was the principal of the Clarkson School, at Hull-town, L. I., in Hempstead, but he began the study of law in the office of the late A. OAKLEY, Jr., of this city. This was in the spring of 1839. BRADLEY's residence in Newark, and it was there that he was admitted to the bar of New Jersey, in November, 1842.

There never was a time, you may be bound, when they talked of sending young BRADLEY to a house of refuge for born idiots. He seems to have known everything from the start.

Mr. BRADLEY has held several positions of much more importance than that to which Gen. GRANT has appointed him, for the position of Associate Justice of the Supreme Court amounts to very little since it has been made so easy for very little men to get into it. At one time "Mr. BRADLEY accepted the appointment of Trenton correspondent of this paper"—that is to say, of the Newark Advertiser. Subsequently, he was elected "a Director of the Newark Savings Institution," and "for years he held the arduous and responsible position of mathematician to the Mutual Benefit Life Insurance Company" of that city. Everybody in his district was exceedingly anxious for him to run for Congress, and keep on running for it; but they could never get him to do it but once.

Says the Advertiser: "Notwithstanding that he was urged for many years to accept nominations, he always eschewed political life until 1862, when he ran for Congress in the Fifth District on the Republican ticket, and was defeated by Hon. NEHEMIAH PERRY, who was the Democratic candidate." Disgusted with the people, all of whom begged him to run for office and few of whom would vote for him when he did run, Mr. BRADLEY abandoned the pursuit of political distinction, thus losing forever the privilege of voting for reconstruction and of seling cadetships, and fixed his eye upon the Supreme bench. With one eye thus fixed, he slowly, but surely, turned the other in the direction of a beautiful villa at Long Branch, and not until that villa had been bought and presented to the President of the United States did Mr. BRADLEY withdraw his gaze therefrom. He knew then that the charter for his royal road to the Supreme bench had been secured, and that the contracts were all let out to reliable men.

Mr. BRADLEY, it seems, didn't have any politics of his own up to the year 1863, but feeling then that in times like those, when the inexorable conscript law was abroad in the land, the safest place for the true patriot was the legislative halls of the nation, he borrowed a political sentiment or two in regard to crushing out the rebellion, and announced himself a candidate for Congress. The Advertiser tells us that, "Let us sink party differences, bury them under our feet, when treason and rebellion are stalking about the land, and come up Democrats, Republicans, Americans, or what ever other party there may be, shoulder to shoulder as we stand here to-day, in support of the Constitution and the Government, until its authority is vindicated forever!" was ever the burden of his speeches." He was not elected to Congress, and, although he managed to escape the draft, he did not desert his bleeding country. As men determined to do or die, he and hundreds of others like him, whose antipathy to the smell of gunpowder rendered the front intolerable to them, stood there at home shoulder to shoulder in support of the Constitution and the Government until its authority was vindicated forever. Approach, "by lonely contemplation led," and behold this picture of the young LEONIDAS and his new Thermopylæ—the heroic BRADLEY and his cohorts standing there in Newark, shoulder to shoulder, in support of the Constitution and the Government against the whole South in arms! A single glance at this extraordinary spectacle, and we are prepared to hear the Advertiser say:

> So that at the close of the war we need not be surprised at his taking his stand by the side of those who hung days in chains, and who fought all claims to any rights as States, or to be counted as such, in any question of constitutional law, or on the question of constitutional amendments, and that the action of the Executive, so far as the vast power of the country, and of Congress in the plenitude of power, were supreme and unlimited, as in any other military despotism, Judge BRADLEY at once expressed more or less in detail in a letter published in February, 1866, when the questions of the condition of the States, the rights of citizens, and the admission of Louisiana were under discussion, and he was a prominent candidate at that time to this. In the expression of his views on these and other subjects, which have loomed into importance since that day, Judge BRADLEY, as has always been very decided, and has stood firmly by the leading dogmas of the Republican party.

But BRADLEY is not one of those rather unreasonable persons who still think that all the Southern people ought to be hanged, or burned, or buried alive. He is more liberal in his views than that. "We happen to know from personal intercourse with him," says the Advertiser, "that no man has a more generous feeling of sympathy for the South, and as it has been his chance to be selected for the Southern Circuit, in which he has expressed his determination to reside, we anticipate favorable results from the official and social intercourse with his Southern people, amongst whom he has some warm personal friends." All this is very pleasant, and we hope it is true. But if it should turn out that BRADLEY's "warm personal friends" at the South are to be found only among the carpet-baggers and scalawags, then, our worst fears will have been confirmed.

In conclusion, let us say that Mr. BRADLEY received the degree of L.L. D. from Lafayette College, Pa., in 1859, and that, "in October, 1844, he married the youngest daughter of the late distinguished Chief Justice HORNBLOWER, of New Jersey, and is the brother-in-law of the Rev. Dr. WM. H. HORNBLOWER, of Paterson. Being much worn in health by many years of toil, he went to Europe in July, 1865, and returned, after an absence of five months, much benefited. He again visited Europe in 1869, and remained a few months." BRADLEY's health is now good. His face is "full of intellectual expression," while, in addition to which, "a calm cheerfulness rests upon the whole countenance."

The Late General Thomas.

We do not rate Gen. THOMAS as highly as he seems to be regarded by the press of the North. But we are not conscious of any partisan instigation to disparage him. There was a time when we entertained an active, but by no means personal, hostility toward the course which he saw fit to pursue in his administration of this military department. That hostility passed off long ago. Indeed, now that he is dead, we may confess very frankly that we have more than once turned over in our mind the possibility of having to make choice between him and some worse person as a future candidate for the Presidency, and, while we could never get ourselves up to this as a remote and abstract proposition, impressed by any practical necessity, we are not prepared to deny that, as against Mr. SUMNER or Gen. BUTLER, we might not have been so far wrought upon as to forget his colder nature, and to be warmed by certain traits of soldiership which he did undoubtedly possess.

The man was brave, hardy and unobtrusive. In person he was solid, square-built, weather-bronzed, gray-bearded, but rather handsome, withal dignified and undemonstrative in his manners, having the mien and carriage of a gentleman. He illustrated in his character, in his appearance and in his conduct what the hunters understand as "a cold-nosed dog." His temperament was impulsive, his self-control perfect. But he was obstinate rather than firm; and his campaigns, which lacked genius, did not lack success, because he

CONGRESS.

Forty-first Congress--2d Session.

SENATE....WASHINGTON, D. C., March 31.

TEXAS SENATORS.

Mr. DRAKE presented the credentials of Morgan O. Hamilton and J. W. Flanigan as Senators elect from Texas, the former for the term ending March 4th, 1871, and the latter for the term ending March 4th, 1875, and he asked that the gentlemen be sworn into office.

The credentials were read at the request of Mr. Thurman, and the Senators took the oath and took seats on the Republican side of the Senate.

GENERAL THOMAS.

The House joint resolution of sympathy and respect for the memory of Gen. Thomas passed.

ARMS.

At 1 o'clock the case of Gen. Ames, as Senator-elect from Mississippi, came up in order. Mr. Vickers argued the alleged declaration by Ames to become a citizen of Mississippi did not amount to anything, so no voluntary action on his part could be shown to make the intention valid.

HOUSE.

THE TEXAS DELEGATION.

Mr. FAINE, from the Election Committee, reported that the credentials of the members elect from Texas are regular, and they were prima facie entitled to seats.

Mr. BUTLER, of Mass., was opposed to the swearing in of any of the members elect, and read to the House various affidavits setting forth that whipping negro soldiers while he was Captain in the army, and of having boasted that he bribed a negro soldier, to swear falsely before the military commission which tried him.

Mr. VAN TRUMP asked whether the affidavits were ex parte.

Mr. BUTLER admitted they were.

Mr. VAN TRUMP asked that they should not be used in advance of the judgment of the House.

Mr. SHANKS moved to refer the charges against Conner to the Committee on Elections.

Mr. STEVENSON, member of the Committee on Elections, defended the report of the committee, and charged that the object of the mode of Conner was, that he alone of the delegation was a Democrat, and had Texan blood in him, might be all true. He did not expect any very high specimens of Christian gentlemen sent up here from Texas; but what had they to do with that? How did that affect Conner's right to a seat? The member from Massachusetts, who charged Conner on affidavits with subornation of perjury, had himself defended a member of the House for a greater crime. It was, to his judgment, a grave and serious, it was a base partisan proceeding, and as such he denounced it.

[Cheers for and groans from a Democratic member.]

Mr. ORTH deserved the proceedings would be conducted more temperately. He was a native of Indiana, had entered the army and served continuously until the close of the war, and then had been appointed Captain in the regular army, which he had served till the time he had accepted the nomination as a candidate for Congress in Texas. Against his friendly that charges of misdemeanor could not be brought. Captain Conner was charged with being sworn to by soldiers. If that was a disqualification, certainly a majority of the House might be ousted. It might excite wonder that were attempting to exclude this young man from his seat. He was sorry Captain Conner was not here to defend himself, but that they should not be used in advance of the judgment of the House.

Mr. SHANKS moved to refer the charges against Conner to the Committee on Elections.

Mr. STEVENSON

...Journal.
...APRIL 6, 1870.

...ABOUT.

...Consul.

...the United States and ... the right of survey ... of the immense... ... designed to co... ... with the Pa... ... work will be ... ... the surveying party ... on the ground. The advantages of ... to the commerce of the ... and American ... will be immense. It takes eight ... of these angels well, and the ... ... to eighty francs. Before ... on over at work. In ... ... there are not ... ... They manage ... reproductions annual... ... here in the "Visage ... Two months are ... to turn out a single copy of this ... Those employed are chiefly Italians. ... a few Frenchmen and Germans, but ... to an Englishman found there.

...ibraltar.

...ent which came from abroad ... to restore Gibraltar ... ... directed the attention of ... to that famous rock and fortress, ... the report has been officially con... ... Sir Colin Campbell, the ... ... "Rock," says an authority, "from ... ancient child who first occupied it, ... in the eighth century. It came into the ... of the English in July, 1704, and has ... remained ever since, though various at... ... to wrest it from us. By the ... ... in April, 1713, it was ceded ... England. From July, 1779, to February, ... Gibraltar was besieged by the com... ... of France and Spain, and suffer... ... from the smallness of the garrison, ... of provisions, and the constant ... of shot and shell. By the ... on that occasion by the besiegers ... over $10,000,000. On a single occasion ... of gun-powder were expended ... it stands, Gibraltar cannot have ... and less than twenty to thirty mil... ... required to be retained as an annual ... ,000,000. The Strait of Gibraltar is ... eleven miles wide where narrowest, ... the enormous depth of 2,188 fath...

...Alaska.

...Government has been in possession of ... bleak territory for two years, and the ... feeling is that a more stupid pur... ... could not have been made—unless, in... ... Domingo is incorporated also—a ... country famous for noth... ... the "Wolf's lone howl;" ... one source of wealth that, ...ficiently administered, might go ... ...ncel the expenses of the territory. ... quite an interesting article in a late ... of the Old and New concerning the ... ...ederful resources of that region. Two small ... ... Paul and St. George) are to be ... ...hants of the seal. They collect on ... ...ch, at certain seasons of the year, in ... of thousands, and are driven by the na... ...ts to the interior and slaughtered, scores ... being covered with their bodies, ...ed for their skins. To bury or other... ...dispose of them, it would be ... ...and to have them back into the sea ... ...hungry drive the seals away. Seals ... ...d sell for one hundred pounds. ... ...fifteen years of age are about eight ... ...and weigh two thousand pounds or ... ...It is London that the art of ... ...the hair from the skin has been per... ...and the business has been monopo... ...d by a few houses. During the summer of ... two hundred and sixty thousand seals ... killed. Caucasus is now, we believe, ... to be the best method of protecting ... preserving the fisheries.

...The Age of Paper.

According to the Paper Trade Reporter the ... ...ing abomination is to be followed by ... ...e imitation of the fashionable ... of the day, of enamelled cut with open ... ...ork beauty and delicacy as no ... ...of paper with scissors and needle ... ...ed of that, too, at the trifling ... ...ence. There appears to be no end to the ... ...ich human ingenuity can put paper. ... ...ave got their sawdust angels ... ...ut about one dollar. These ... ...ngels, have a beneficial effect ... ...ey have depressed matrimonial ... ...ll. But the paper angels are ... ...ndon workmen to stop at petticoats. ... ...ation ... ...and chints for bed furniture ... ...ze, and a set costing at retail about ... ...dollars and fifty cents. The felted mate... ...that a curtain may be ... ...ed into a cape and ... ...ple, sharing as little ... ...as chintz ... ...greatest. There are also ... ...s embossed with signs of great beauty. ... felted paper may in the end have a se... ...ous influence on the production of ... ...woven fabrics it is intended to displace. Im... ...ervious leather, however, why should ... ...ot, and produces a cheap and ... ...leather for furniture, and even serves ... ...shoes—is "an eternal fitness of ... ...ings" is ... ...ver movement, and as we live in a ... ...sham and shoddy, when ... ...ds, false complexions and ... ...ations are to be seen, ...such novelties naturally, and this is ... ...the first that has been given to a German.

THE Bombay Gazette says that at Agra the Duke of Edinburg asked if there was a lunatic asylum in the place. He thanked heaven when he learnt there was not, declaring that wherever such an institution existed he was invariably taken to it, and found "Welcome" in large letters over the door.

JARAUSCHEK is in London, on her way to Paris. She has accepted the invitation of the Grand Duke of Sachsen-Meiningen, to pass a few weeks of the summer at his place by the Lake of Como. Towards autumn she designs to return to New York, and it is likely that she will then appear in English drama.

THE Nashville Union and American says: "Every friend of constitutional government throughout the land will learn with deep regret of Mr. Beck's determination. He has especially endeared himself to the people of the South for his manly defense of their rights against the aggressions of the Congressional Regulators. His services will be long and gratefully remembered."

AN extraordinary instance of cure by excitement is reported from Paris. M. Loubard, husband of the unfortunate lady lately murdered by her servant, had been completely paralyzed for ten years, but the shock occasioned to his system by the horrible event has gradually restored the power. For six months since a gentleman wrote to an English newspaper to announce that the shock of a railway accident had cured him of neuralgia. It's an ill wind that blows nobody good.

ABBE PERGNON had been selected to fill the post of Coadjutor to Archbishop Odin, of Orleans. Two bulls in brief have been received there, appointing the consecration of the bishop elect may be expected to take place in an early day. By the first bull the Abbe is appointed Bishop of Ardara, *in partibus infidelium*; by the second, Coadjutor of the Archdiocese of New Orleans, *cum jure successionis*.

THE Princess of Wales is as much pitied as the Queen, for, justly or unjustly, the work of construction is put upon the doings of her husband. Bravely does she keep to the front. The two go everywhere. They held a great dinner party the very day the lady's maid was telling her story. They sat together in a box at the theater. They are just going to hold a levee. Last week they were skating in Regent's Park.

THE English Catholic chapel in the Faubourg St. Honore will shortly be pulled down, having been purchased by Balsac's widow. She wishes by the means to enlarge her garden and to erect on the consecrated ground a mausoleum, to which her husband's remains will be removed. The great novelist's study remains just as he left it. The table, stand, pamphlets, books, and sheets of paper on which he had commenced writing, are on the table just as when he last saw them.

PROF. RICHESON, in a lecture delivered at the Lowell Institute, Boston, asserted that "If our people knew what they were drinking, there would never be another pound of green tea imported from China. The Professor alleges that the Chinese never use what is called green tea, but export it wholly all to Russia and America. This tea is colored by being sprinkled during the drying process with a powder composed of gypsum and Prussian blue, or prussiate of iron (a rank poison), or, more commonly, gypsum and indigo. No one in China drinks tea made from such poisonous leaves.

THE following paragraph concerning Mr. Dickens appears in the London Telegraph. "It is almost needless to state that the [illegible] graph now going the round of the [illegible] tian and provincial papers [illegible] honors to Mr. Charles Dickens [illegible] tion in fact. We shall [illegible] probably run no risk of error if we [illegible] that Mr. Dickens's visit to [illegible] Majesty was in obedience to the express desire of a most ardent admirer of his works, actually anxious to make the personal acquaintance of their distinguished author."

GEN. MAGRUDER says that while he is sanguine as to the welfare of the whole country generally, he owns frankly that Mr. Dickens's visit to [illegible] the XVI amendment, which he says, that as he has abstained entirely from meddling with the political parties of the country since the termination of the late war, so he is opposed to its consummation by physical movements, except those erected over the gallant dead. But he recognizes with gratitude and intelligence those moral movements which rise spontaneously in the hearts of its comrades and those who know him best, for they "are made not with hands, but are eternal in the heavens," and cannot wound the susceptibilities which are the natural results of a long and bloody struggle.

IT is irritating, says the New York Tribune, to be reminded by the advertisements in the Boston newspapers, how much good music we have allowed to go away from New York. Theodore Thomas, whose remarkable services to art were never appreciated in this city, is to begin a series of symphony concerts at the Music Hall on the 5th of April, and we have no doubt that he will be welcomed by large and enthusiastic audiences. His first programme includes Beethoven's third overture to "Fidelio," Liszt's "Tasso," Schumann's "Tramerei," the overture to "William Tell," Berlioz' arrangement of Weber's "Invitation to the Dance," and Meyerbeer's "Schiller March." Miss Mehlig is to play at the same concert.

A Cincinnati Judge has decided that a blow from a husband inflicted upon a wife is sufficient ground for a divorce.

UNDER PARIS.

A Subterranean Tour of the French Capital.

(From Appleton's' Journal.)

Louis Napoleon has for nearly twenty years successfully maintained his position at the head of the Government of France, and kept within bounds, among the people over whom he rules, that turbulent, reckless spirit of insubordination which learned the lesson of its dangerous strength in the grand catastrophe of the French Revolution. We have just been reminded, however, that, although repressed, this rebellious humor has not been eradicated. Barricades in the heart of the metropolis are possible in 1870 as in the days of Louis XVI., of Charles X., and Louis Philippe; and forty thousand workmen have marched in procession, with cries of "Vive la republique!" "Down with the empire!" through the streets which were once thronged by the frenzied viragoes who escorted the unfortunate Louis to his prison in the Tuileries.

The Paris of to-day, however, is not the Paris of 1792, of 1830 or even of 1848. Then the narrow streets and paved roadways afforded both convenient opportunity and material for barricades, and weapons ready to the hands of their defenders, while the possession by the insurgents of the Hotel de Ville, or City Hall, seemed to secure to them the control of both city and government. Now, the broad avenues and boulevards, the smooth macadam and asphalt, are as subversive of the hopes of successful insurrection as they are advantageous to the military engineer and police. The extent of the alterations and improvements which have been carried out by the Emperor, and the bearing which they have been made to have toward the discouragement and effectual suppression of any uprising of the populace, are matters of common report, and familiar probably all to. Possibly the fury, observer, or the details of the "military occupation" of the city may not be so generally known.

The measures which have been taken to protect the metropolis against insurrection are very complete and effective. The Hotel de Ville has been restored and fortified. In the rear, it is flanked by the Napoleon Barracks, which are garrisoned by three thousand two hundred men, and command the entire extent of the Rue de Rivoli, while on the right it is supported by the huge Prince-Eugene Barracks, in the Place du Chateau d'Eau, held by three thousand two hundred more. On the left, on the island of the "Cite," are the Municipal Barracks, with two thousand eight hundred men, and directly in front the Barracks of the Louvre, with eighteen hundred men. The last-named barracks are connected with the Louvre itself, between which Bibliotheques and the Muses, and thus the Louvre and the Tuileries can at any moment be converted into a citadel, and a whole army deployed by battalions in its huge courts.

In the middle of the Seine lies the "Cite" island, the cradle, as it were, of Paris, and the key-stone between the Hotel de Ville and the Palais de Justice. Here are the Barracks Notre-Dame, the Hotel de Dieu, and the Palace of the Archbishop. Here is, also, the strategical centre of the whole intricate system of the fortifications of Paris. The gigantic Caserne Municipale, with its four massive towers at the four angles—the modern Bastile—if occasion demand, commands all the approaches to the island, and holds in check the Quartier Latin on the left, and, on the right, the bank of the Seine as far as the Louvre. Here, at the last extremity, the imperial household, with all its treasures, will find a place of refuge, giving up the Tuileries and Louvre to military purposes. On the left, the communication is maintained between this central point and the Hotel des Invalids and Ecole Militaire. On the right, still other new barracks extend to Mont Valerien, the third railway-station from Paris, which commands the whole city, and forms the key to the entire system of fortifications. The fortress here cost Louis Philippe five million francs, and has room for fifteen hundred men. When we remember, in addition to this chain of defenses, the immense free lines of the boulevards, and the straight, broad